

Cornell University University Assembly

# U.A. Resolution # 6

### Divestment from Companies producing Fossil Fuels and Holding Fossil Fuel Reserves

March 24, 2015

1	Sponsored by: University Assembly Campus Infrastructure Committee (CIC) members
2 3	Jeffrey Bergfalk (UA member), Emma Johnston (UA member), Martin Hatch (UA member and Vice Chair for Operations), Robert Howarth (Faculty appointee to the CIC);
4	Additional Sponsor(s): Sarah Balik (UA member)
5	
6	Whereas, overwhelming evidence indicates that increasing emissions of greenhouse gases due to
7	fossil fuel mining, transportation and combustion are disrupting planetary climate
8	systems by elevating both atmospheric and marine temperatures, altering ocean
9	chemistry, raising sea levels, and melting ancient ice fields. Concurrently, the probability
10	of epic storms, drought, flooding, and extreme temperatures, both high and low has
11	increased; <sup>1</sup> and
12	Whenever, these disputtions nose significant risk to all public and private sectors, notional
13 14	Whereas, these disruptions pose significant risk to all public and private sectors, national
14 15	security, biodiversity, and fundamental biological systems; and
16	Whereas, world leaders have agreed that in order to avoid potentially disastrous climatic effects,
17	the increase in global temperature must be limited to $2^{\circ}$ C above preindustrial levels; <sup>ii</sup> and
18	
19	Whereas, sophisticated climate modeling has shown that in order to have a 50% chance of
20	holding the earth to a 2°C rise, the world must limit greenhouse gas emissions (measured
21	in gigatons of carbon dioxide or its equivalent, GtCO <sub>2</sub> ) to about 1,000 GtCO <sub>2</sub> between
22	2015 and 2050, and thereafter, very little carbon can be burned unless it is captured and
23	permanently sequestered or offset by sequestration of previous emissions; and
24	
25	Whereas, a slippery slope exists because each additional °C of global warming requires
26	successively less human controlled emissions due to positive feedback loops. It has taken
27	250 years and over 2,000 GtCO <sub>2</sub> to achieve a $1^{\circ}$ C rise, yet $2^{\circ}$ C will be reached by
28	emitting only 1,000 GtCO <sub>2</sub> and it is projected that a $3^{\circ}$ C increase would only require an additional 250 GtCO. At aurent emission levels, that would accur within 10 years of
29 30	additional 350 GtCO <sub>2</sub> . At current emission levels, that would occur within 10 years of crossing the $2^{\circ}$ C threshold; and
30 31	crossing me 2 C uneshold, and
51	



# Cornell University University Assembly

32	Whereas, world reserves of fossil fuels presently contain over 3,000 GtCO <sub>2</sub> , it is apparent that a
33	majority of these reserves may become stranded or impaired assets going forward.
34	Meanwhile, companies holding fossil fuel reserves continue to invest hundreds of billions
35	of dollars annually in reserve replacement activities that may soon be widely perceived as
36	a misallocation of capital. Taken together, this creates an elevated risk that such publicly
37	traded companies are over-valued by the financial markets. Traditional investment
38	analysis is not designed to look more than a few years ahead and relies on historical data
39	which are unlikely to provide an accurate forecast of future performance of fossil fuel
40	linked investments; and
41	
42	Whereas, it is highly unusual for extractive industries to refrain from the development of
43	resources they control unless they are constrained by poor returns on investment which
44	may be caused by various factors including regulatory action, low demand, low prices, or
45	prohibitive capital costs; and
46	
47	Whereas, financial analysis by the Cornell Faculty Senate, and by many impartial public and
48	private research bodies, has shown fossil fuel investments over the past 10 years have
49	slightly underperformed in comparison to the rest of Cornell's long term investment pool,
50	even before the massive loss of value in the fossil fuel industry over the past 9 months as
51	the price of crude oil has fallen. Publically traded fossil fuel investments constitute at
52	most 3% of the endowment <sup>m</sup> ; and
53	
54	Whereas, Cornell's Board of Trustees has repeatedly voted to divest Cornell's endowment when
55	it was deemed appropriate and necessary to help achieve the greater good. This is a
56	history that honors us; <sup>1V</sup> and
57	
58	Whereas, in keeping with its public service mission, Cornell has committed via its Climate
59	Action Plan to the arduous and upfront costly actions needed to reach carbon neutrality
60	by 2035, divestment will be a relatively easy task; and
61	
62	Whereas, most campus advisory bodies and many student organizations have enthusiastically
63	endorsed divestment from fossil fuel companies as well as Cornell's pursuit of carbon
64	neutrality by 2035. This issue is not going away; and
65	
66	Whereas, setting a clear target of 2035 for full fossil fuel divestment would allow the
67	endowment to make a timely exit from its fossil fuel holdings while sending a strong
68	signal to the markets, policy makers and the general public; and
69	
70	Whereas, divestment carries clear potential for Cornell to improve its brand image and is highly
71	likely to enhance future fund campaigns built around the Climate Action Plan (CAP); and
72	



## Cornell University University Assembly

73 74 75	Whereas, contrary to the arduous and upfront costly path to carbon neutrality, which is where we all need to be headed, divestment is an easy task once the decision is made to do so; and
76 77	Whereas, the 21 <sup>st</sup> century may well be viewed historically as a watershed moment at the dawn
78	of the Anthropocene epoch when humanity briefly turned away from business as usual,
79	slamming a lid on the fossil fuel era and by doing so ushered in a cleaner, greener and
80	more sustainable future. Or not. The question to be answered is not why should Cornell's
81	endowment divest from companies holding fossil fuel reserves, but why not?;
82	
83	Be it therefore resolved, that Cornell University Trustees instruct their investment officers to
84	divest Cornell's investments in the companies holding the largest fossil fuel reserves <sup>v</sup> on
85	an approximately linear schedule aimed at complete divestment by no later than
86	December 31, 2035; and
87	
88	Be it finally resolved, that the President of Cornell will submit an annual report to the
89	University Assembly, Faculty Senate, Student Assembly, Graduate and Professional
90	Student Assembly, and Employee Assembly which will describe the progress made
91	toward both climate neutrality and divestment from companies holding the largest fossil
92	fuel reserves.

#### **Respectfully Submitted,**

Matthew A. Battaglia Chair *Pro Tempore*, University Assembly

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>i</sup> See http://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar5/wg1/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>ii</sup> Over 140 countries are party to the 2009 Copenhagen Accord, of which "The overall ambition [was] to keep the rise of the world's average annual temperature as far below 2°C warming as necessary, compared to pre-industrial levels, to avoid catastrophic climate change" (page 5 of the NGO Copenhagen treaty, Volume 1, linked at https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Copenhagen\_Accord).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>iii</sup> See "Financial Implications of the Faculty Senate Resolution; Cornell Investment and Divestment Strategies for a Sustainable Future", resolution #6 background document available at UA website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>iv</sup> See http://www.nytimes.com/1989/01/29/nyregion/cornell-will-continue-selling-stock-with-south-africa-ties.html; http://nvdatabase.swarthmore.edu/content/cornell-university-students-sit-divestment-apartheid-south-africa-1985; and page 43 of *Divestment on Campus* (Kibbe, Investor Responsibility Research Center, Washington, D.C., 1989.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>v</sup> The definition of "...those companies holding the largest fossil fuel reserves" is the annually updated in "Carbon Underground 200" listing of the top 100 public coal companies globally and the top 100 public oil and gas companies globally, ranked by potential carbon emissions content of their reported reserves.