

## **U.A.** Resolution # 7

## Calling for Implementation of an Open Course Evaluation System

March 24, 2015

1	Sponsored by: Matthew Battaglia, Undergraduate; Vice Chair, University Assembly
2	
3	On behalf of:
4	Joseph Fridman, Undergraduate; Undesignated-at-Large, Student Assembly
5	
6	Whereas, the University Assembly (the "Assembly") has the authority to examine matters which
7	concern the welfare of a substantial segment of the campus community and may make
8	recommendations thereon to the President or other appropriate officers of the university, and
9	
10	Whereas, Cornell University as a whole does not offer access to information about courses
11	beyond a general course catalog description, and
12 13	Whereas, a survey of Cornell University's peer institutions reveals that others have successfully
14	implemented an open course evaluation system through which students and other members of the
15	university community enjoy access to the partial results of previous course evaluations, and
16	university community enjoy access to the partial results of previous course evaluations, and
17	Whereas, open course evaluations offer students and other members of the university
18	community access important information about course selection, helping to guide them in
19	academic decisions, and
20	
21	Whereas, this inconstancy reduces the value of course evaluations to students as they become
22	only inward facing tools for faculty and not outward feedback to all, as students rely upon third-
23	party sites and services to aggregate polarized and outdated reviews from unverified sources, and
24	NVI C. 1 . A 11 D 1 .: //20 1 C 1: 11 .1 II : '. 1.1
25	Whereas, Student Assembly Resolution #29 was left unrealized by the University, and the
26 27	Acting Provost deferred the issue back to students with the statement that course evaluations principally are a tool for the colleges, not students.
28	principally are a tool for the coneges, not students.
29	Whereas, valid concerns about an open course evaluation system exist and should be
30	deliberately addressed with due consideration, and
31	



32 Whereas, the administration requested students tackle this initiative separately with each 33 college, and 34 35 Whereas, the Student Assembly has neither the authority nor the capacity to create a efficient working group capable of bringing together officers from each applicable colleges or unit that 36 37 would be able to effectively address this situation, and 38 39 Whereas, this issue affects multiple important parts of the Cornell Community, including 40 undergraduate and graduate students as well as faculty, who utilize course evaluation feedback to 41 improve teaching methods and course materials and is already being examined by some colleges 42 within the university and other shared governance bodies, and 43 44 Whereas, the University Assembly has reviewed the matter and finds Cornell University's 45 various course evaluation systems should be reviewed and updated, therefore be it 46 47 **Resolved**, the University Assembly calls upon the Provost to create and charge an Open Course Evaluation Working Group with members of each applicable academic college or academic unit 48 49 for the purpose of assessing the strengths and weaknesses and Cornell's current course evaluation 50 systems, reviewing peer institution course evaluation systems, and determining how the course 51 evaluation system should be improved at Cornell, and 52 53 Be it Further Resolved, that this Working Group should contain at least two members of the 54 Student Assembly and two members from the Graduate and Professional Student Assembly, and 55 56 **Be it Finally Resolved**, that the working group report back its findings to the University 57 Assembly no later than January 31, 2016. Respectfully Submitted, James N. Blair Chair, University Assembly